	Case 2:24-cv-00590-TLN-KJN Docume	ent 2 Filed 03/12/24 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	IN RE: STEVEN WAYNE BONILLA	No. 2:24-cv-00505-TLN-KJN
12		No. 2:24-cv-00510-TLN-KJN
13		No. 2:24-cv-00518-TLN-KJN
14		No. 2:24-cv-00564-TLN-KJN
15		No. 2:24-cv-00584-TLN-KJN
16		No. 2:24-cv-00585-TLN-KJN
17		No. 2:24-cv-00586-TLN-KJN
18		No. 2:24-cv-00590-TLN-KJN
19		No. 2:24-cv-00591-TLN-KJN
20		No. 2:24-cv-00592-TLN-KJN
21		ORDER
22		
23		J
24	Plaintiff Steven Wayne Bonilla is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in the	
25	above-captioned civil actions. On November 29, 2018, the Court declared Plaintiff a vexatious	
26	litigant and ordered the Clerk of the Court not to file or assign a civil case number to any	
27	proposed habeas corpus petition or civil action related to Plaintiff's criminal conviction in	

proposed habeas corpus petition or civil action related to Plaintiff's criminal conviction in Alameda County. (See Bonilla v. Fresno County, Case No. 2: 18-cv-2544-TLN-KJN, ECF No.

28

1 13). On June 14, 2023, the Court modified its vexatious litigant Order and directed the Clerk of 2 the Court to open a new case for each attempted new pleading and assign it to the undersigned for 3 review. (Id. at ECF No. 26.) If the Court determines the new filing is related to Plaintiff's 4 Alameda County criminal conviction, the case will be ordered dismissed and closed. (*Id.*) 5 The Court has reviewed the complaints/petitions filed in the above-captioned cases and 6 finds they are related to Plaintiff's Alameda County criminal conviction.¹ 7 Accordingly, the complaints/petitions in Case Nos. 2:24-cv-00505, 2:24-cv-00510, 2:24cv-00518, 2:24-cv-00564, 2:24-cv-00584, 2:24-cv-00585, 2:24-cv-00586, 2:24-cv-00590, 2:24-8 9 cv-00591 and 2:24-cv-00592 are hereby DISMISSED. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close 10 these cases. No further filings will be accepted. IT IS SO ORDERED. 11 12 Dated: March 11, 2024 13 14 15 Troy L. Nunley United States District Judge 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 Plaintiff erroneously names the United States District Court for the Northern District of 26 California in the captions of Case Nos. 2:24-cv-00505 and 2:24-cv-00518. To the extent Plaintiff

Case 2:24-cv-00590-TLN-KJN Document 2 Filed 03/12/24 Page 2 of 2

criminal conviction and are therefore dismissed pursuant to the modified vexatious litigant Order.

District of California, the Court finds the claims raised therein are related to his Alameda County

intended to file those complaints/petitions in the United States District Court for the Eastern

27

28